NC INCUMBENT WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM SCORING SHEET July 1, 2010- June 30, 2011

Is process improvement training such as, but not limited to, Lean, Six Sigma, ISO, a part of this application?							
NO □ YES □							
Company Name							
Amount Requested Proposed # of Trainees Total Points							
Local Workforce Development Board (LWDB)							
SECTION I: BUSINESS INFORMATION – I	Maximum Score = 30) points					
Is the information provided in Section I, Parts A through C, of sufficient quali competitive review process?	ty to support the		equate ation (0)	Adequate Information (3)			
2. Access the information supplied in the Project Abstract that explains and supports the need for the training and how it will avert lay-offs.	Poor (0)	Aver	age (4)	Above Average (9)			
3. Access the information supplied in the Project Abstract that explains and supports the need for requesting financial assistance to conduct the training.	Poor (0)	Average (4)		Above Average (9)			
 4. How well does the information supplied in the Lay-off Aversion Attestation Form explain the business' need to: a) Improve workers' skill levels, or b) Improve company processes in order to avert lay-offs? 	Poor (0)	Average (4)		Above Average (9)			
SECTION I SCORE (0 -30)							
SECTION II: AVAILABILITY AND/OR USE OF FUNDS – Maximum Score = 5 points							
1. Does the application indicate that contact has been made with the community college or university to ensure that other funding for this training is not available?		Yes (5)					
SECTION IV SCORE (0-5)							
SCORE SUBTOTAL							

SECTION III: TRAINING PLAN – Maximum Score = 45 points

1.	1. Is the information about the training plan of sufficient quality to support the competitive review process?		Inadequate Information (0 pts)	Adequate Information (3 pts)	
2.	2. How well do the training components relate to the business' training needs as stated in the Project Abstract?		Not Relevant (0 pts)	Relevant (3 pts)	
3.	. Is the information about the Training Provider of sufficient quality to support the competitive review process?		Inadequate Information (0 pts)	Adequate Information (3 pts)	
4.	4. Based on the stated qualifications of the Trainers/Instructors, are they appropriate selections for delivering the training?		No (0 pts)	Yes (3 pts)	
5.	Do the competencies for each training competencies that the trainees will need to avert lay-	•	No (0 pts)	Yes (3 pts)	
	r <u>Skill Attainment Applications</u> , please answer questestion 7 A and B.	tion 6 A and B. For	Process Improvement A	pplications, please answer	
_	Please answer A and B. For Skill Attainment Applications:	(6.A) No (0 pts)	Proof of Skill Attainment (8pts)	Industry-recognized Skill certification	
	 A. Will the training lead to or result in an industry-recognized skill certification, or has some other proof of appropriate skill attainment that directly benefits the Trainees been explained? B. Will the training positively impact the trainees' opportunity for advancement in 	(6.B) No (0pts)	Future Possibility (8pts)	(15 pts) Immediate Result of Training (15pts)	
	the business and/or a wage increase?				
		OR			
7.	Please answer A and B. For Process Improvement Applications: A. Is there evidence that the training will directly contribute to the improving efficiency or quality in a way that makes the business more competitive?	(7.A) No (0 pts)	Future Possibility (8 pts)	Immediate Result of Training (15 pts)	
	B. Is there evidence that the training will directly contribute to process improvement within the business, thereby averting layoffs?	(7.B) No (0 pts)	Future Possibility (8 pts)	Immediate Result of Training (15 pts)	
	SECTION III SCORE (0 -45)				

SECTION IV: BUDGET – Maximum Score = 20 points

1.	Are proposed costs reasonable and allowable and are they allocated	No (0)	Yes (5)
	in the appropriate line item?		
2.	Does the budget provide enough explanation and detail to relate all	No (0)	Yes (5)
	budget items to the training description(s)?		
3.	Both of the following summations must be verified and correct :	No (0)	Yes (5)
	A. The total of all "Component Costs Charged to Grant" (located in		
	the individual training components) equal to the "Total Grant		
	Funds Requested".		
	B. The total of all proposed costs listed in the budget under the		
	"Grants Funds Requested" equal to the "Total Grant Funds		
	Requested".		
4.	Is there evidence of in-kind or other type of employer contribution to	No (0)	Yes (5)
	support the cost of training, such as salary, meeting space, etc.?	,	` ,
	SECTIO	ON IV SCORE (0 -20)	

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS – Maximum Score = 20 Points

Is the business located in a Tier 1 county as designated by the NC Department of Commerce?	No (0)	Yes (10)
If yes, please give the county name:		
2. Is the business identified as a small business employing 100 or fewer?	No (0)	Yes (10)
If yes, please give the number of paid employees at the workplace location:		
SECTION V SCORE (0 -20)		
APPLICATION TOTAL SCORE (0 – 120)		
Signature of Reviewer	Date	

COMMENTS: